

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/757,793	ALBORNOZ ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MICHAEL PHAM	2167	

All Participants:

(1) MICHAEL PHAM.

(2) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 October 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Authorization of an examiner's amendment was given in order to place the case into condition for allowance.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/M. P./

Examiner, Art Unit 2167

Status of Application: Pending

(3) Gero McClellan (reg. 44227).

(4) Johnny Lam.

Time: 10am (eastern time zone)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)